CF 10c

3

IN THE COURT OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF C.G. AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WP 2705/04.

PETITIONER : SMT. MEENA DESHMUKH WIFE OF MR. G.P. DESHMUKH AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS ASSISTANT TEACHER PRIMARY SCHOOL KOTARA BLOCK OFFICE CHOWKI DISTRICT RAJNANDGAON, RESIDENT OF C/O MR. G.P. DESHMUKH ASSISTANT TEACHER, BANDHABAZAR BLOCK CHOWKI DISTRICT RAJNANDGAON (C.G.)

P.R. No. 27244 dated 19.8.04 Presented by Shri Manoj Sharma

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

(1) State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Depasrtment of School education Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)

(2) Secretary, Department of Adim Jati, Anusuchit Jati Avam Pichhada Varg Kalyan D.K.S. Bhavan Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

(3) Secretary, Department of Samanya Prashasan Vibhag Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)

(4) Collector, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

(5) Commissioner, Department of Adim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Vikas Raipur (C.G.)

(6) Assistant Commissioner, Adivasi Vikas Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

(7) Block Education Officer Chowki District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 19.8.04 Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/AND/OR, PROHIBITION/AND/OR/CERTIORARI/AND/OR/OTHER DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS OR ORDER/ORDERS.

Smt. Meenakshi

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

36

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2705 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03/09/2004: -

Shri Suresh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General, with Shri U.N.S. Deo, G.A., Shri Yashwant Thakur, G.A., Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.G.A., Shri Akhil Agrawal and Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyers, for the State/respondents.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the Competent Authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having heard and considered the rival contentions, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising her grievance before the State/Competent Authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within ten days, and on such representation being made, the competent authority of the respondents shall consider and decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. If any contravention of policy or guidelines is brought to the notice, the same be considered on its own merits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that after the decision of the representation, if any grievance of the petitioner remains she may be allowed to avail the remedy available under the law. It is open to the petitioner to avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P., if any, stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Copy to State counsel for compliance.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये

Subhas